

No 53

Ch.

#15

55 July 72

Paid March 17<sup>th</sup>. 1826

*On the Doctrine,  
of  
Critical Days,  
in  
Fever.*

*By John Bellinger.*

*"His plausible in theory, & true in experience."*

*Robert Jackson.*

Concise & disconnected as these few observations may at first appear, still it is hoped, that they are of such a nature, & are so arranged, that, by a well informed mind, they may readily be re-joined to other & more extensive series of Physiological & Pathological facts, which may be adduced in their support.

Before proceeding to the consideration of the subject more immediately before me, I propose to make a few remarks upon the nature of critical terminations in general.

Acting upon a firm persuasion of the truth of the Humoral pathology, the Ancients naturally attributed the appearance of "critical discharges" to the evacuation of "morbid matter." So far their Theory was ingenious and plausible, & had their practice been rightly deduced from it, it might have been harmless if not beneficial. But in conceiving that those diseases in which these evacuations usually occurred were atonic in their immediate tendency; and that nature required the assistance of stimulant remedies to complete the operations by which they supposed the cure was effected; they drew their conclusions from false premises, not carefully investigating the

\* See, Burns on Inflammation. Chittenden on Fever.  
 Cooper & Travers. Supp. of Gray, Chap. on Spleen, and  
 particularly a letter from Dr. Farr. Mercur inserted.  
 Rogers on Absorption. &c. &c. &c. &c.

effects of the medicines they administered.

As experiment attempted a new system of Therapeutics, & experience justified the innovation, the Pathology, upon which the old method of cure was founded, came to be ridiculed and abandoned: and as in other revolutions the change was intended to be neither partial, nor temporary.

It has been usual for modern ingenuity to disclose the principle upon which these effects depend; and so clearly to unveil the mystery of nature's operations, that by establishing certain actions in the system, we bring diseases at once to a favourable crisis, which might <sup>otherwise</sup> be tedious in duration, distressing in their consequences, or fatal in their termination.\* Dropsy, for instance is no longer considered as so entirely dependant upon inequilibrium of the functions of certain systems



of rebels as to be cured by a clasp of artificers calculated to act on them alone. No - whatever establishes an action similar to the natural one, in other words, brings back the system to a healthy state, prevents, or removes the effusion, which is its most striking characteristic. Thus it has yielded, when the whole clasp of Diuretics has failed, to remedies apparently foreign in their application, to the nature of the case.

Disavowing therefore this tenet of the humoral pathologists, we look upon depositions in the urine, sweat, diarrhoeas &c not as testimonies of the expulsion of morbid matter, but as evidences of the recommencement of actions, which had been suspended during the violence of disease.

The residua then, in our Practice,

as, to a  
 stions  
 reduces  
 The  
 will use  
 in her  
 In 18  
 parties,  
 character  
 custom  
 stious  
 stacks  
 arranged  
 as dire  
 and in  
 ment  
 for the  
 individ  
 character



are, to ascertain the nature of the changed  
actions, and the means best calculated to  
induce the natural ones.

The nature of acute diseases is generally  
well understood, their Pathology well defined  
and their treatment obvious: still we have  
often to lament, not our ignorance of Thera-  
peutics, but the inefficacy of our *Materia Medica*.  
Admittedly experience wants our assigning  
to certain of them, the property of exciting specific  
actions in the human system, they have often  
obstacles to remove before their effects can be  
manifested, and should these obstructions exceed  
the limits of their power, they fail in fulfilling  
our intentions. Others, after having a few times  
exerted their influence in our favour, appear to  
lose the power they formerly possessed over some  
individual constitutions, & become inert upon  
exhibition, or again, what is more perplexing,

\* All modern works are full of these complaints, but for a body & feeling exposition of them, see Schusen on Tropical Climates, particularly the Chapter on Dysentery.

† See the Aphorisms and Books on Prognostics, of Hippocrates, for the substance of the doctrine, and his Book on Epidemics for the facts on which it is founded.

‡ Galen, Van Swieten, &c

P See Rees Cyclopaedia, Article "Critical Days."

a kind of retrograde action; aggravating rather  
 than alleviating the disorders of our patients.\*

The subject I have chosen comes with a very dis-  
 tinguished & antiently & highly autho-  
 rity to recommend it to our attention; yet must  
 we not leave the consideration of these things  
 without carefully examining its true pretensions  
 to our belief, subscribe to the doctrine; for this  
 the "Father of Medicine" was its parent, though  
 it was fostered by some the most eminent of  
 his successors; was, & is still, supported with  
 all imaginable learning & ingenuity; "in the  
 opposition" we behold the names of Herophilus,  
 Aëtiædis, Celsus, & others a host of names. P

If it has been advanced with confidence, it has  
 even opposed with decision; its supposed incon-  
 sistency with ancient origin have been ridiculed,  
 the doctrine of the humoral pathology, a corner

1. 1000  
 2. 1000  
 3. 1000  
 4. 1000  
 5. 1000  
 6. 1000  
 7. 1000  
 8. 1000  
 9. 1000  
 10. 1000  
 11. 1000  
 12. 1000  
 13. 1000  
 14. 1000  
 15. 1000  
 16. 1000  
 17. 1000  
 18. 1000  
 19. 1000  
 20. 1000  
 21. 1000  
 22. 1000  
 23. 1000  
 24. 1000  
 25. 1000  
 26. 1000  
 27. 1000  
 28. 1000  
 29. 1000  
 30. 1000  
 31. 1000  
 32. 1000  
 33. 1000  
 34. 1000  
 35. 1000  
 36. 1000  
 37. 1000  
 38. 1000  
 39. 1000  
 40. 1000  
 41. 1000  
 42. 1000  
 43. 1000  
 44. 1000  
 45. 1000  
 46. 1000  
 47. 1000  
 48. 1000  
 49. 1000  
 50. 1000  
 51. 1000  
 52. 1000  
 53. 1000  
 54. 1000  
 55. 1000  
 56. 1000  
 57. 1000  
 58. 1000  
 59. 1000  
 60. 1000  
 61. 1000  
 62. 1000  
 63. 1000  
 64. 1000  
 65. 1000  
 66. 1000  
 67. 1000  
 68. 1000  
 69. 1000  
 70. 1000  
 71. 1000  
 72. 1000  
 73. 1000  
 74. 1000  
 75. 1000  
 76. 1000  
 77. 1000  
 78. 1000  
 79. 1000  
 80. 1000  
 81. 1000  
 82. 1000  
 83. 1000  
 84. 1000  
 85. 1000  
 86. 1000  
 87. 1000  
 88. 1000  
 89. 1000  
 90. 1000  
 91. 1000  
 92. 1000  
 93. 1000  
 94. 1000  
 95. 1000  
 96. 1000  
 97. 1000  
 98. 1000  
 99. 1000  
 100. 1000

it may well be considered an appendage, has been  
overlooked; and the facts of which it is ~~apparent~~  
said to be supported have been controverted.

It becomes us therefore cautiously to investigate  
its claims for our attention, & impartially to ex-  
amine ~~the~~ the arguments of this dis-  
putation ere we coincide with either party.  
As however this essay is intended, not for the  
amusement of the antiquarian, but rather for  
the instruction of pupils of the Science, to  
state a few of the principal objections that have  
been urged against it, and a brief account  
of improvements suggested, is all I propose.  
I may be thought upon, as being intimately  
connected with my subject, that I should en-  
deavour to my ideas some pursued ideas &  
poor. I have however upon some inquiry  
upon this available ground, for it is perhaps  
difficult to define the exact meaning

\* *See Copland's Appendix to Richards's  
Physiology & etc., &c.*

† *Johnson on Tropical Climate.*

† *Johnson "Sketch of Female Genuses."*

P *Says "Pathology & Therapeutics."*

\*  
of "life" than to give a just expression of  
"force". I start analysis and synthesis of the  
usual symptoms leave us either the bare  
word, stripped of all signification, or with  
no sound portion of the Nosological table  
remaining in its train.

The pathognomies now commonly in-  
sisted on, are the suppression of the secre-  
tions & excretions, & the inequilibrium of the  
circulation.† We can thus be misled by  
Pathologists, for by adopting the former, we  
must necessarily exclude from our defini-  
tion some forms of fever described by  
Jackson;‡ and if we seize with "fever  
high" upon the latter we pull the whole  
of Parry's Pathology<sup>P</sup> about our ears.

The first objection to the doctrine of critical  
days which I shall notice, is one of ancient  
date, and formerly one upon which consider





with sleep was said. The following quotation  
I consider sufficient to explain & remove  
it. "The inconsistency of the doctrine was  
objected to it. The inconsistency observed by Celsus  
is this; Hippocrates considers the fourth day  
of each septenary as critical: hence the fourth  
and the eleventh (taking the eighth as the  
first of the second septenary) are critical.  
But he denominates the seventeenth with  
these, as a fourth; whereas this seventeenth  
is the third only, of the third septenary, for  
the eleventh is the fourth from the seventh  
but the seventeenth is only the third from  
the fourteenth: this makes the twentieth the  
last of the third septenary, and not the  
twenty first.

Various conjectures were entertained  
respecting the origin of these periodical move-  
ments in fever. Some attributing them to

3

\* *Rus. Cygus arcticus*, Loe. cit.

† Cullen's "First Lines" P. 118 & 122 imman.

‡ good's "History of Medicine" vol. 2. p. 56.

the training & numbers according to the Ptolemaean  
and Ptolemy, & Edwin and others have con-  
cluded that Hippocrates was swayed by his  
usual doctrine. But Van Swieten states  
the irregularity just stated, as a proof that  
Hippocrates deduced his numbers from a rather  
free observation of diseases. \* Edwin has  
noticed his expression in his sayings, it con-  
firms him that Hippocrates has given a more  
& accurate notion of this supposed uncer-  
tainty; indicating it is a change in the  
form of questions, surgery, it is a union  
& question of the form of the form who scarcely  
was, justice, it is a union upon other points, which  
with him upon the point, and justly  
compliments him upon his ingenious ex-  
amination, and explanation of the Greek  
distinction of critical days. †

It has now been stated that the change of modern



practice by disturbing the course of the disease, prevents those appearances from being developed which under the treatment pursued by Hippocrates, were usually manifested. No one, after studying the "Sketch of Febrile Diseases," and notwithstanding the vigorous & efficacious treatment therein recommended and pursued, still finds the venerable author a champion of his theory, will look further for a refutation.

Considering the state of medical knowledge when the doctrine of Crisis was promulgated, we must concede that the "humoral pathology," highly vigorous in itself received no small support from the appearances exhibited during the times that crises were supposed to occur. The violent agitation of the patient & aggravation of the symptoms, subsiding wholly or in part, upon the appearance of certain discharges, which, when the patient was not so much ex-

\* See not Swan's testimony, and in possession of  
 single instances and in circulation, sufficient  
 proof of this apoplexy? Vide also Bichat's Anatomy

hastened by the various continued vicissitudes or  
 successive exacerbations of the disease, were  
 followed by more or less rapid recovery, were  
 circumstances that afforded ample scope for  
 the exercise of genius, and accordingly the  
 ancients improved it to the extent of their  
 opportunities. But when in process of time  
 this doctrine came to be exploded, every  
 subject in any manner connected with  
 its principles underwent the involution that  
 was heaped upon the general hypothesis.  
 We are not surprised then to see the one, i. e.  
 for us particularly distinguished by the enter-  
 prising to establish its downfall; we know how  
 soon that many points of that doctrine that  
 were not in obscurity are again rising above  
 the horizon of medical Science.\*

It would be immoderate here to enter  
 into any discussion, touching the causes;

circulate, for an admission, that although disease be not seated in the fluids, yet there are other the vehicles of its causes.

\* Vide, Mead, Sauvage, Balfour, Linnæ and Jackson on Luesæ influence.

† "He may be regarded as laying down the following as the critical days in continued fever the 3<sup>d</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup>, 13<sup>th</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup>, 17<sup>th</sup>, 19<sup>th</sup>, 21<sup>st</sup>. In other parts of his work he regards not the 4<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> and even the 21<sup>st</sup> as critical days, so that in the first week, every day, after the disease has fully established itself, evinces a disposition to a serious change; in the second week every other day; and in the third week every fourth day of Medicine: vol 2<sup>d</sup> p. 53



what we consider critical terminations:  
all that we are concerned to know at present,  
since it is granted that any occur, is whether  
they take place at stated periods.\*

If we receive the statements of  
all who have pretended to make observations  
on the termination of fever we must learn  
almost every day from the third to the twenty  
fourth, as critical. Here we are opposed by an  
opposition of no trifling importance. Hippocra-  
tes limited the termination of fever to three  
particular days† and unless his observations  
are confirmed, his theory must be discarded.  
It remains then supporters of the doctrine then  
far to furnish us with some clue, whereby we  
may extricate ourselves from this labyrinth of  
popperities.

The primary object to be considered  
in calculating the duration of fever is the



run by which the time is to be regulated. The  
 particular occasion of twenty four hours  
 running, from the morning (Sun, eve) was  
 the one universally adopted, so far as I have  
 been able to discover until the publication  
 of Jacksons work in 1801. But, he, however  
 proposed a different one in as much as he  
 wished to limit the ~~duration~~ duration  
 of all cases of continued fever to one particu-  
 lar hour of the twenty four. Considering that  
 this form of fever usually commenced its  
 attack about 5 or 6 o'clock P.M. he proposed  
 that we should consider it as always pre-  
 ceding this course. He imagined that a case  
 of a fever, he termed, one hour commencing  
 at 6 o'clock P.M. and ending at the same  
 time on the following day. Thus he divided  
 equally at the time 6 o'clock & all fevers  
 subsisting between 6 P.M. and 6 o'clock were

\* "For. Apoc. our Lover."

is regarded as commencing their attack, at  
 6 P.M. when stands at the head of the scale,  
 and their duration calculated accordingly.  
 But if a fever appeared between 6 A.M. and  
 6 P.M. its accession was fixed at 6 P.M. which  
 stands at the foot, and its duration measured  
 from that point.\* Ingenious as this may  
 appear, and supported as it is by most cases  
 of continued fever, it is nevertheless too arbitra-  
 ry to be universally applied; and unless we  
 have one that may be adapted to every  
 emergency the objection will exist in full  
 force: for as we will perceive in the se-  
 next, it was its origin in this way deficient-  
 cy of the common method of calculation.  
 Thus the combatants of the opposite party, no  
 longer contented ~~themselves~~ with ridiculing  
 the circumstances supposed by them to give  
 origin to the onset of debate, deny the facts

which

have

been

been

which

which

which

which

which

which

which

which

which

which

which

which

which

which

which

which

which have hitherto been considered as insubstantial, and appeal at once to the observation and evidence of mankind, is a nation in their favour. From its very nature, no question is so great, not the least, would some thousands of humane men, as every one is inclined to assent, can never see even as well as now as well days it would be wasting of time attended with disappointment to attempt to observe and calculate their appearances. But in the midst of this amazing war as Mr. Grant has thrown his sword into the scale, which is a Carthage marching to the rescue of the Capitol!

I will explain in as concise a manner as possible the circumstances which suggested to the ingenious and comprehensive mind of Jackson the improvement he has made & his land is now collected in their support.

[illegible]



It should not be excruciation or recurrence  
 of one frequently anticipated or postponed  
 the time of its usual appearance of a longer  
 or shorter period and that frequently in the  
 course of the disease, there supervenes a fever  
 of the same or of a different type. Considering  
 as these circumstances must primarily have been  
 the primary ~~and~~ time to adopt a new method  
 in calculating the duration of fever. For by  
 anticipating or postponing the time of its usual  
 appearance not only the hours but the  
 day in which an exacerbation or recurrence  
 should appear, would be changed, and the  
 time of crisis would be on an even day,  
<sup>or</sup> evening according to the civil day.

Again, suppose a fever of the same or of a  
 different type to supervene upon one already  
 existing, the crisis of the one would not be  
 critical of the duration of the other. Conse-

every  
 must  
 every  
 in an  
 clearly  
 experience  
 also that  
 others of  
 lines of  
 calculated  
 common  
 no  
 no  
 no  
 each  
 experience  
 according  
 in that  
 His pro  
 to found

quently: we reckoned from the commencement of the first access we should frequently find one of them to terminate on an even day, independent of the irregularity occasioned by anticipation or postponement of the paroxysm. He observed also that if relapses were considered as continuations of the original fever, crises would sometimes happen on even days. Accordingly he calculated the duration of fever from its commencement; regulating the length of our day, not by twenty four hours, but by the time included between the accession of each paroxysm, and the succeeding one. Supposing fevers he calculated separately according to the same rule: and relapses he dated from the time of their occurrence. His practice shows wise improvements to be founded on experience. Of 60 cases that

to me  
 but I  
 but I  
 but I  
 I  
 made  
 on the  
 The  
 on a  
 in front  
 him  
 saying  
 with a  
 longer  
 times  
 and the  
 when  
 in a  
 and a

terminated favourably, ten terminated on the third, ten on the fifth, twenty on the seventh, two on the ninth, five on the eleventh, three on the thirteenth, and two on the seventeenth. Of nine which terminated fatally, one terminated on the sixth one on the seventh, six on the eighth, and one on the tenth."

The great proportion of fatal terminations on even days attracted his attention, and he found that in referring a crisis to the hour of death he was mistaken. That in reality the crisis took place as usual on the odd day, but that the patient frequently lingered on to the even. "Yet death sometimes happened on the even days from another cause. The decline of the paroxysm which in many cases was hardly perceptible in others was plain. The disease terminated; but another recurring, after a short inter-

\* Jackson as Fencer \*

val, suddenly put a period to existence." "In such cases the patient dies in the height of the paroxysm carried off by convulsions apoplexy or other accident." \* The anticipation or postponement of paroxysms, suppression of other fevers, relapses, & refusing the time of crisis to the hour of death, have no doubt been the causes of the confusion apparent in the termination of fever when calculated according to the common method.

We may therefore consider these improvements as giving stability to the doctrine, as establishing a new era in its chronology, as producing a grand character in its existence.

